	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/872,990	WARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Anthony Asquith</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 1 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1 and 29		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Amendments to claims 1 and 10 were suggested to provide clear antecedent basis for the claims from the instant specification and for the sake of clarity and accuracy, respectively. Mr. Asquith agreed to FAX a supplemental amendment for formal consideration.		
Part III.		•
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Peter a Huskour		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)